H

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,714	04/	18/2005	Jung-Keun Kim	12220-02USA	1458
35736 JHK LAW	7590	01/23/2008		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 107			MORRIS, PATRICIA L		
LA CANADA,	, CA 9101:	2-1078		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	. 1625	
				·	
•				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				01/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/531,714	KIM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
-	Patricia L. Morris	1625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilize to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 O	<u>ctober 2007</u> .						
,							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

10/531,714 Art Unit: 1625

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-4 are under consideration in this application.

Claims 5-7 are held withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to nonelected subject matter 37 CFR 1.142(b).

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I and compound 1 in the reply filed on October 25, 2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 5-7 are held withdrawn from consideration as they include additional active ingredients and are not the same scope as the compound.

The election of species is proper because applicants are merely claiming compounds that are well known in the prior art. The elected compound is well known in the prior art and over three thousand references disclose the elected species. The prior art of record is just a sampling of the numerous references available in the art. The search will not be extended because the elected species is not allowable or patentable.

This application has been examined to the extent readable on the elected compound wherein X is hydrogen and Y is OH, exclusively.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and/or (b) as being anticipated by Ulbricht et al. (CA 102: 31976), Yan et al. (CA 132: 127698) and Lewowksi (Arkivoc, 2001, 2(1), 17-54, 2001).

Ulbricht et al., Yan et al. and Lewkowski specifically disclose the instant compound.

Note RN 67-47-0 therein. Hence the instant compound is deemed to be anticipated therefrom.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Ulbricht et al., Yan et al. and Lewkowski.

As discussed supra, Ulbricht et al., Yan et al. and Lewkowski specifically disclose the instant compound, *i.e.*, 5-hydroxymethylfuran-2-carboxyaldehyde.

10/531,714 Art Unit: 1625

It is believed that one having ordinary skill in the art would have found the claimed compounds prima facie obvious, since the compound is taught by the disclosed formula; In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971). See also In re Malagari, 499 F.2 1297, 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974); In re Lemin, 332 F.2d 839, 141 USPQ 814 (CCPA 1964); In re Rosicky, 276 F.2d 656, 125 USPQ 341 (CCPA 1960). The requisite motivation for arriving at the claimed compound and composition stems from the fact that it is specifically disclosed by the references.

It is believed well settled that a reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably conveyed to one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Fracalossi, 681 F.2d 792, 215 USPQ 569 (CCPA 1982); In re Lamberti, 545 F.2d 747, 192 USPQ 278 (CCPA 1976); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); In re Susi, supra.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3 and 4 provides for the use of treatment and prevention of bone diseases, etc., but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claims 3 and 4 are composition claims drawn to a compound plus inert carrier and are not drawn to method claims. Further, claims 3 and 4 are improper composition claims because they fail to recite an inert carrier.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

10/531,714 Art Unit: 1625 Page 6

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia L. Morris
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625

plm January 7, 2008